

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
REGULAR OPEN MEETING
(PUBLIC UTILITY)
Wednesday, August 30, 2017
Chicago, Illinois

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 A.M.,
at 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois.

PRESENT:

- BRIEN J. SHEAHAN, Chairman
- SADZI M. OLIVA, Acting Commissioner
- MIGUEL DEL VALLE, Commissioner
- JOHN R. ROSALES, Commissioner

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Devan J. Moore, CSR
License No. 084-004589

1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Good morning. Are we ready
2 to proceed in Springfield?

3 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Yes, we are. Yes, we
4 are, Mr. Chairman.

5 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Pursuant to the Open
6 Meetings Act, I call the August 30th, 2017 Regular
7 Open Meeting to order. Commissioner de Valle and
8 Acting Commissioner Oliva are with me in Chicago.
9 Commissioner Rosales is present in Springfield. We
10 have a quorum.

11 We have 10 speakers or so from the
12 public this morning. As a reminder, each speaker
13 will have 3 minutes. We'll let you know when you
14 have 2 minutes left, 1 minute left, and when your
15 time has expired.

16 Please be aware that, while the
17 Commission affords the public an opportunity to
18 comment, we will not respond directly to your
19 comments. Your comments will be made part of the
20 record. However, we cannot rely on it to resolve
21 disputed issues of fact in contested cases.

22 Our first speaker is Julie Prandi.

23 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: I'm not sure where she
24 is.

1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: John, if you could help us
2 coordinate these procedures, that would helpful.

3 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Yes, sir.

4 You may begin, ma'am.

5 MS. JULIE PRANDI: Okay. Thank you.

6 I'm a retired person. I am also an
7 Ameren customer who is outraged that Ameren now wants
8 to renege on the carefully-crafted deal it had
9 originally agreed to. The Future Energy Jobs Act, or
10 FEJA, passed just last year, involved almost 2 years
11 of negotiations with multiple stakeholders, including
12 energy companies, consumer advocates, environmental
13 advocates, and legislatures.

14 We should not be carving out
15 exemptions or allow any power companies to
16 significantly lower the energy efficiency, or EE,
17 target. This legislation passed. Ameren was at the
18 table. Residents like me in Central, Illinois will
19 pay nearly 30 percent more than projected on utility
20 bills if Ameren is allowed to lower its energy
21 savings target, according to consumer advocates.

22 Besides, we know that ComEd, another
23 big power company, has not expressed any need to
24 change its targets for EE. If ComEd can meet its

1 goals, Ameren can too, especially when you consider
2 that Ameren's original target of 16 percent was lower
3 to begin with than ComEd's 21.5 percent energy
4 efficiency goals. The ICC should not grant
5 permission for Ameren to lower its efficiency savings
6 total by any number, let alone a whopping 27 percent.

7 As the Illinois Administrative Law
8 Judge recently ruled, Ameren provided no evidence for
9 not being able to meet its originally stated goals.
10 Unbelievably, Ameren wants to be rewarded if they
11 then exceed the low target that they seek to set.
12 They want a bonus of \$36 million dollars. We, the
13 taxpayers, are supposed to sit still for this? There
14 should be no bonus, only penalties, if companies fail
15 to meet the targets in FEJA. And I do not speak just
16 for myself. I'm also concerned about the low income
17 communities who were promised increasing EE and FEJA.

18 Again, ComEd has no problem in meeting
19 both of these goals, overall reduction of energy use,
20 plus increasing EE for disadvantaged neighborhoods.
21 Ameren must be held to the law. Ameren wants to
22 justify their request to lower the target because it
23 will then invest more than the law requires on low
24 income EE. This sounds nice, but it is a poor reason

1 for reducing the EE's target. This target is crucial
2 in the fight against global warming, crucial for
3 reducing the carbon footprint. Besides, saving
4 energy by increasing EE is the easiest way to reduce
5 greenhouse gas emissions and one that can be
6 achieved.

7 Midwest is poised to increase its
8 green jobs, and FEJA is an important part of this.
9 However, according to the National Resources Defense
10 Council, the energy plan that Ameren submitted would
11 jeopardize 7,000 jobs that could be created by the
12 new law. As the Joyce Foundation wrote in
13 February of 2017, "Across the country the clean
14 energy sector provides well-paying employment for
15 millions of Americans. In the Midwest alone clean
16 energy jobs are scheduled to grow at the rate 4.4
17 percent this year, almost nine times as fast as the
18 long-term national average."

19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Ma'am, your time has
20 expired. Can you wrap it up, please?

21 MS. JULIE PRANDI: Yes.

22 In sum, the ICC should not grant
23 permission for Ameren to lower its energy efficiency
24 savings. If ComEd can meet the target, Ameren can

1 too. We shouldn't allow Ameren to go back on its
2 promise. If you grant Ameren's request, it would set
3 back the efforts to make Illinois a leader in energy
4 conservation and green jobs. That would be unfair
5 for us civilians in Central, Illinois who are paying
6 more --

7 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, ma'am.

8 Our next speaker is Alphonso Lyons.

9 Commissioner Rosales, do you have an
10 Alphonso Lyons in the audience?

11 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: We may.

12 MS. JULIE PRANDI: He gave his time to Reverend
13 Tony Pierce.

14 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Okay. You have 3
15 minutes.

16 REVEREND TONY PIERCE: Good morning. My name
17 is Reverend Tony Pierce, and I am pastor at Heaven's
18 View Christian Fellowship Church, and an Ameren
19 Customer in Peoria, and I'm also the Board President
20 of the Illinois People of Action.

21 Let me begin by briefly describing the
22 work that the Illinois People of Action did to ensure
23 that FEJA was good for both our environment and good
24 for low income communities and communities of color.

1 Over the course of a year IPA, or
2 Illinois People of Action, had meetings in Peoria to
3 hear what our low income communities and communities
4 of color wanted to see in energy jobs development.
5 Here's what they said:

6 They want cleaner energy. Many of the
7 people who attended these meetings talked about
8 generations of asthma due to the air pollution caused
9 by the Edward Power Plant and other coal power plants
10 along the Illinois River. Secondly, they wanted jobs
11 with clean energy, whether that's energy efficiency
12 jobs or solar installation jobs. And, lastly, they
13 wanted a chance to have energy sovereignty. This
14 means that they want a chance to own the energy that
15 they use.

16 We brought our message to the Energy
17 Clean Jobs Coalition where we are members of the
18 Climate Table. We won their support. Those very
19 components were then negotiated with the Illinois
20 utilities, including Ameren. There was give and take
21 on both sides, with ComEd ultimately agreeing to a 21
22 percent reduction of energy usage by 2030 by
23 increasing energy efficiency in its footprint.

24 Ameren claimed this was too high, and

1 we negotiated down to 16 percent for them, which they
2 agreed to. Now we are here today to talk about how
3 that legislation, the Future Energy Jobs Act, will be
4 implemented. As you know, Ameren now wants to reduce
5 the amount of energy efficiency savings that they
6 will engage in by almost a third. And to add insult
7 to injury, they want to receive a bonus if they go
8 past the new lower target. This is totally
9 unacceptable. Ameren says that it cannot both meet
10 the 16 percent FEJA reduction and serve the needs of
11 low income communities of color. They certainly can
12 afford it. This is a company that made \$653 million
13 dollars in profit in Illinois in 2016. The
14 15-and-a-half million that they propose to spend on
15 low income communities is not even 2-and-a-half
16 percent of Ameren Illinois's profits last year.

17 Furthermore, their argument makes no
18 sense. Most of the homes in Peoria's distressed
19 neighborhoods are older homes. Weatherizing these
20 homes will yield higher returns to both their owners
21 and Ameren. Ameren cannot be allowed to trade
22 investments in low income neighborhoods for a
23 reduction in their overall energy use or vice versa.
24 We will not stand for them pitting the low income

1 communities against the environmental communities.
2 Ameren can serve low income customers, and create
3 jobs, and meet their goals. And the Administrative
4 Law Judge agrees with us on this.

5 Thank you.

6 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Thank you.

7 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you.

8 Our next speaker is Verlyn Rosenberg.

9 MS. VERLYN ROSENBERGER: Rosenberger.

10 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Rosenberger?

11 MS. VERLYN ROSENBERGER: Thank you for allowing
12 me to speak.

13 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Thank you. Before you
14 do, duly next to you -- those that are speaking --
15 you will have the timer so you'll understand how much
16 time you have. Okay?

17 MS. VERLYN ROSENBERGER: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Okay. Thank you.

19 MS. VERLYN ROSENBERGER: As a lifelong resident
20 of Illinois and an Ameren customer, I am totally
21 opposed to the Ameren proposed rollback of energy
22 efficiency standards adopted in the Future Energy's
23 Job Act. The extremely achievable, affordable,
24 desirable, and statutorily cumulative annual energy

1 efficiency target of 16 percent by 2030 must be met
2 by the corporation.

3 To reduce carbon and other greenhouse
4 gas emissions, energy efficiency is one of the most
5 achievable and significant ways to do it, many that
6 Ameren has been promoting for years. I'm certain
7 that low income residents, and especially communities
8 of color, are tremendously affected by climate change
9 and high energy costs. For those and other reasons,
10 the health of the economy of our country as well as
11 the physical health of population is threatened.
12 Therefore, privately-owned multibillion dollar
13 utilities must meet the efficiency target of 16
14 percent by 2030. These low income residents should
15 not be forced to suffer because Ameren wants to lower
16 the statutory energy efficiency target. I believe
17 that Ameren must not be allowed to cut back at their
18 expense.

19 Investments in energy efficiency is
20 expected to lead to the creation of thousands of good
21 paying green jobs in America's -- in Ameren's service
22 area. If Ameren is allowed to cut back on efficiency
23 goals, less money will be invested in effective
24 efficiency projects that could provide many good work

1 opportunities for many of our unemployed population.
2 Ameren must be held to the standards established when
3 the Future Energy Jobs Act was adopted by the
4 bipartisan state legislature.

5 In conclusion, I also believe that
6 Ameren should be constantly working toward an
7 achievable goal of 100 percent renewable energy.

8 After I prepared these comments I
9 learned some good news that just yesterday the
10 Administrative Law Judge at the hearing about whether
11 Ameren should be allowed to lower its rate concluded
12 that there was not sufficient evidence to merit
13 having the Illinois Commerce Commission lower
14 Ameren's efficiency goals.

15 Thank you for listening.

16 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you.

17 Our next two scheduled speakers, Robin
18 Garlish and Marliea White are apparently ill, but
19 there's a substitute.

20 Commissioner, is there a substitute in
21 the audience for one of those speakers?

22 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: There is. John --

23 How do you spell your last name?

24 MR. JOHN DIECKMANN: D-i-e-c-k-m-a-n-n. John

1 Dieckmann.

2 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Welcome, Mr. Dieckmann.

3 MR. JOHN DIECKMANN: Thank you.

4 As an instructor for the Illinois Home
5 Weatherization Assistance Program, known as IHWAP,
6 and an EPI-certified auditor in building analysis --
7 energy auditor and building analysis, and a volunteer
8 who's helped create guidelines for national home
9 weatherization programs, I have both an interest and
10 a stake in seeing Ameren stick to their promise to
11 help low income housing residents become more energy
12 efficient. By lowering the results bar Ameren would
13 be taking an unfair advantage of our state and the
14 taxpayers. Thank you.

15 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, sir.

16 Our next speaker is Matt Maloney. He
17 has asked that Hillary Spellman substitute.

18 Ms. Spellman, are you in the audience?

19 MR. MATT MALONEY: Ms. Spellman couldn't make
20 it.

21 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Okay. And your name is,
22 sir?

23 MR. MATT MALONEY: Matt Maloney.

24 Good morning, Chairman Sheahan and

1 Commissioners. I'm Matt Maloney, and I'm the
2 Director of Health Policy for Respiratory Health
3 Association. I'd like to thank you for the
4 opportunity to comment on Docket No. 17-0311.

5 RHA has been in a public health leader
6 since 1906. Today we address asthma, COPD, lung
7 cancer, tobacco control, and air quality with a
8 comprehensive approach involving research, education,
9 and activities. Today we're expressing concern that
10 the energy efficiency and demand response plan that
11 Ameren Illinois filed falls short of delivering the
12 benefits that they promised in the Future Energy Jobs
13 Act. Failure to meet the Future Energy Jobs Act's
14 urban targets will result in needless additional
15 costs to consumers, to form higher utility bills. It
16 will also result in additional deadly air pollution
17 being generated by power plants that now contribute
18 to unhealthy air quality in areas that well over half
19 of the population in Illinois lives in.

20 RHA is spreading its national
21 resources this year on the health benefits of fully
22 implementing the Future Energy Jobs Act,
23 demonstrating a decrease in heart attacks, asthma
24 attacks, ER visits, and other health benefits. As a

1 parent of someone with asthma this is a big concern
2 for me as well.

3 Failure to achieve energy efficiency
4 and demand response targets will result in diminished
5 health benefits, including more sickness and more
6 lives lost. Please ensure that Ameren Illinois meets
7 their relatively low statutory requirements. The
8 Future Energy Jobs Act promised Ameren Illinois
9 customers lower energy bills, cleaner air, and job
10 creation through investment in energy efficiency.
11 The targets Ameren Illinois has filed compromises
12 these benefits and the health and welfare of their
13 own customers as well as millions of more Illinois
14 residents.

15 Yesterday an Administrative Law Judge
16 rendered a decision that Ameren had not justified
17 their efforts to avoid meeting the energy efficiency
18 target set by these statues last year and that
19 Ameren's request should be denied. We urge the
20 Commissioners to do likewise.

21 Thank you for your time. Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you.

23 Our next speaker is Ron Wojtanowski.

24 MR. RON WOJTANOWSKI: Wojtanowski.

1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Wojtanowski.

2 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Mr. Wojtanowski?

3 MR. RON WOJTANOWSKI: Yes. I live in Danvers,
4 Illinois, and I'm in Ameren territory.

5 The historic level of carbon dioxide
6 in our atmosphere since the emergence of humans on
7 our planet has, roughly, been 275 parts per million.
8 For thousands of years mankind has flourished in this
9 atmosphere. Since the dawn of the industrial
10 revolution the amount of carbon dioxide has steadily
11 been rising. Currently it is increasing at an annual
12 rate of two parts per million and has recently grown
13 past the 400 parts per million mark.

14 There is no doubt that our climate has
15 changed as a result. In fact, we are now living in
16 uncharted territory. Humans have never existed in an
17 atmosphere such as the one that now exists. We don't
18 know what the long-term effects of this new climate
19 will be on the flora and fauna around us or even how
20 this changing climate will affect our own survival.
21 However, we can see that storms have become more
22 severe. Flooding has become more frequent. The
23 oceans are rising, and species are vanishing. We
24 have been talking about this issue for decades. The

1 scientific evidence that climate change is real and
2 that human activity is a major contributor is now
3 undeniable. The whole world agrees, and we must act
4 now.

5 I am completely opposed to Ameren's
6 proposed rollback of the energy efficiency standards
7 laid out for it in the Future Energy Jobs Act.
8 Ameren's cumulative annual energy efficiency target
9 of 16 percent by 2030 is not only extremely
10 achievable, but it is absolutely necessary. We
11 cannot go backwards on our commitment to reduce
12 emissions. Increasing energy efficiency is, perhaps,
13 the fastest way that we can slow down greenhouse gas
14 emissions.

15 As a state, Illinois must do the right
16 thing and join the rest of the world in addressing
17 this developing crisis. Other states have made much
18 more ambitious commitments to achieve carbon
19 reductions by the year 2030. Let's join the leaders
20 in this effort instead of being reluctant followers.
21 The results of our efforts will not only be a
22 healthier planet, but also a stronger more resilient
23 economy.

24 Thank you, Commissioners.

1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you.

2 Our next speaker is Joyce Harant.

3 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Good morning.

4 MS. JOYCE HARANT: Good morning. Thank you.

5 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: You're welcome.

6 MS. JOYCE HARANT: My name is Joyce Harant. I
7 reside in Peoria, Illinois. I have a master's degree
8 in community health, and I currently serve on the
9 Peoria City County Board of Health. I'm a trustee of
10 the Peoria Park District, and I'm also an Ameren
11 customer.

12 As a member of the Central Illinois
13 Healthy Community Alliance, I've worked with many
14 other people in Peoria for several years with what is
15 now called the Future Energy Jobs Act, FEJA. Peoria
16 is within pollution distance from both the Edwards
17 and Powerton coal plants. The south side of Peoria,
18 a low income area with a high percentage of people of
19 color, was particularly hit with the pollution legacy
20 of these dirty coal-burning industry plants.

21 It is environmental justice
22 communities within the Ameren distribution area that
23 organized to pass FEJA. I believe this south side
24 community was dedicated to this effort because they

1 knew that they had suffered from the negative health
2 consequences of dirty energy. They have paid through
3 asthma and other respiratory conditions, heart
4 disease and early death; and they want to reap health
5 benefits from FEJA as well as economic benefits from
6 community solar installation, job training, energy
7 efficiency, and solar and energy efficiency in their
8 homes.

9 The FEJA is viewed by people in Peoria
10 in the environmental justice communities as hope for
11 a better future, hope to get the job training that
12 will be a pathway out of poverty for their families
13 and a way to better afford their energy bills through
14 energy efficiency improvement and solar energy.

15 People in the Peoria area are in the
16 Ameren service area and contribute to the funding
17 source for FEJA. The energy efficiency targets that
18 Ameren is obligated to under FEJA must be upheld.
19 They should not be allowed to reduce their energy
20 efficiency targets at all. And Ameren must not be
21 provided a bonus of \$36 million if they do not meet
22 the original energy efficiency targets of FEJA.

23 Most every organization that I work
24 with has to do more with less. Administrative and

1 operational costs have to be streamlined. Everyone
2 is doing more with less, and so must Ameren. Please
3 hold Ameren accountable for the people in their
4 service area in retaining their cumulative energy --
5 annual energy efficiency targets as provided in FEJA.

6 And I just learned this morning that
7 your own Administrative Law Judge has ruled that
8 Ameren has not provided sufficient information to
9 warrant this reduction. So please uphold your
10 Administrative Law Judge. Do not allow Ameren to
11 renege against achievable requirements that will
12 provide energy efficiency savings and jobs to low
13 income individuals and all residents in that area.

14 Thank you very much.

15 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you. Our next speaker
16 is William Rau.

17 MR. WILLIAM RAU: I am an Ameren Customer from
18 Bloomington. Ameren has a history of making
19 commitments at Time 1 and then finding ways to renege
20 on those commitments at Time 2. Ameren executives
21 speak with forked tongues. Let me give two examples.

22 One, Ameren's purchase of Illinois
23 Power in 2004. In a deal that added 10 cents to its
24 earnings per share, it also promised two things:

1 lower electricity costs and some protection for
2 Illinois Power employees and retirees. 2 years later
3 it raised the average electricity rates by 40 to 55
4 percent -- not exactly low costs. Rates for
5 customers using electricity for heating increased by
6 a hundred percent to over 200 percent. Why would
7 anyone use electricity for heat? Because Ameren
8 encouraged the practice with significant discounts
9 for those using electricity in that manner. And in a
10 classic bait and switch those accounts were
11 eliminated when they jacked up everyone's rates.

12 Two, pollution control exemption. In
13 2006, Ameren committed to placing pollution controls
14 in its coal boiler, so it was given another 5-year
15 extension. In 2012, they pulled another switch-a-roo
16 by demanding another 5-year extension with the
17 Illinois Power Control Board and threatened to close
18 the plant if it didn't get it. It got it, obviously.

19 Three, in 2014 Ameren paid Dynegy
20 \$200 million dollars to take over its Illinois coal
21 boilers. Why pay another company to take over
22 tangible assets? Because Ameren was able to transfer
23 its pension obligations and plant deconditioning
24 costs to Dynegy's subsidiary, Illinois Power

1 Holdings. That's a problem. The subsidiary is ring
2 fenced, a legal term that means that IPH's corporate
3 parent Dynegy is not responsible for forfeited
4 pensions or other legal obligations when IPH declares
5 bankruptcy, which is almost inevitable.

6 In sum, don't believe a single thing
7 Ameren says. To iterate, they speak with forked
8 tongues.

9 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, sir.

10 Our next speaker is Robert Pashos.

11 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Good morning.

12 MR. ROBERT PASHOS: Good morning. And thank
13 you for hearing the concerns that we are bringing as
14 pertaining to Docket 17-0311. I would like to, first
15 of all, recognize and commend the finding of the
16 Administrative Law Judge that suggested that Ameren
17 Illinois' request to get out of their obligation
18 under the Future Energy Jobs Act be denied.

19 I'm here today for so many of the
20 reasons that have already been shared by others who
21 are asking you also to reject Ameren's request. I am
22 here as a concerned citizen, a concerned Ameren
23 customer, in Illinois; and, quite frankly, a
24 concerned member of the human race. I say that

1 because I am also here for a reason that involves
2 looking at the big picture. And when I do just that,
3 I realize that Ameren's request is also in opposition
4 to the kinds of actions that we need to be taking
5 regarding one of the most pressing issues of all
6 time, the issue of the rapidly-escalating climate
7 crisis.

8 We are seeing reminders -- one after
9 another, after another -- all across the globe and
10 even right now in the Houston area of our own
11 country, reminders that we are facing an
12 all-hands-on-deck kind of crisis that literally
13 involves the future of civilization as we know it,
14 only not only within the lifetimes of our children
15 and grandchildren, as is so often suggested but,
16 according to a growing number of scientists working
17 on the cutting edge of this crisis, even within the
18 lifetime of most of us within this room.

19 And whether or not one is informed or
20 not to grasp the magnitude of what I'm saying, I'm
21 confident that at least most of us in this room will
22 agree that an extreme sense of urgency is entirely
23 appropriate. We are living in a time when we need to
24 move forward with all of the resources that we can

1 muster, not backwards.

2 Moving forward on this crucially
3 important issue means recognizing the crucial role of
4 energy efficiency and the larger effort to combat
5 climate change by reducing the amount of dangerous
6 carbon pollution going into the atmosphere. Energy
7 saved means that much less health harming pollution
8 and that much less greenhouse gas going into the air
9 we breathe and the atmosphere that we depend on to
10 live a stable life-supporting climate.

11 I say again that this is the time to
12 move forward not a time to move backward. And Ameren
13 Illinois needs to buckle up, bite the bullet, and get
14 with the program; meaning, the extremely important
15 and relative commitments they made under the Future
16 Energy Jobs Act.

17 Thank you for your time and serious
18 consideration.

19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you.

20 That's the conclusion of our public
21 comments.

22 Moving on to our Public Utilities
23 Agenda, there are edits to the minutes of the
24 August 15th, 2015 Special Open Meeting.

1 Are there any objections to approving
2 the minutes as edited?

3 (No response.)

4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the minutes
5 are approved.

6 Moving into our Electricity Agenda,
7 Item E-1 concerns Ameren's Energy Efficiency
8 Demand-Response Cost Recovery Reconciliation Rider.

9 Are there any objections to not
10 suspending the filing?

11 (No response.)

12 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the filing is
13 not suspended.

14 Items E-2 through 4 concern the
15 dismissal of various consumer complaints.

16 Are there any objections to
17 considering these items together and approving the
18 Orders?

19 (No response.)

20 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are
21 approved.

22 Item E-5 concerns Ameren's petition
23 for Special Permission to file and put into effect
24 its Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Adjustment

1 rider.

2 Are there any objections to granting
3 this special permission?

4 (No response.)

5 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the special
6 permission is granted.

7 Item G-1 concerns an Addition of a
8 Landlord/Property Management Agreement in Nicor's
9 tariff in compliance with Code Part 280.

10 Are there any objections to not
11 suspending the filing?

12 (No response.)

13 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the filing is
14 not suspended.

15 Item T-1 concerns a consumer complaint
16 against Frontier North.

17 Are there any objections to granting
18 the joint motion to dismiss?

19 (No response.)

20 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the joint
21 motion is granted.

22 Item T-2 concerns Kane County's motion
23 to withdraw its Application for a Certificate to
24 Provide Dark and Lit Fiber.

1 Are there any objections to granting
2 the motion to withdraw?

3 (No response.)

4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the motion is
5 granted.

6 Item T-3 concerns Matrix Telecom's
7 petition for confidential treatment of certain
8 proprietary information.

9 Are there any objections to approving
10 the proposed order granting the petition?

11 (No response.)

12 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is
13 approved.

14 Item M-1 concerns Adoption of Annual
15 Report Forms.

16 Are there any objections to approving
17 the resolution adopting Annual Report Forms?

18 (No response.)

19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the resolution
20 is approved.

21 Moving on to other business, our only
22 item is the August 2017 Solicitation of Bids to Sell
23 Zonal Resource Credits to Ameren.

24 Are there any objections to approving

1 the Procurement Administrator's Recommendation on the
2 Selection of Winning Bids?

3 (No response.)

4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the report is
5 approved.

6 Judge Kimbrel, do you have any other
7 matters to bring before the Commission this morning?

8 JUDGE KIMBREL: No, Mr. Chairman.

9 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Commissioners, do either of
10 you have any other matters that you would like us to
11 address this morning?

12 COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: No.

13 ACTING COMMISSIONER OLIVA: No.

14 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: I would like to thank
15 Commissioner del Valle for very generously allowing
16 us to spend some funds from his budget on the
17 upcoming finance conference with NARUC. I think, as
18 the Commission knows, we have a really renewed
19 emphasis on professional development, and this
20 generous contribution of funds allows quite a number
21 of our employees to attend there. So thank you, sir.

22 Any other discussion?

23 (No response.)

24 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, without

1 objection, the meeting stands adjourned. Thank you.

2

3

(Whereupon, the above-titled

4

matter was adjourned.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24